arXiv:math/0602527v5 [math.AG] 17 Oct 2006

BERNSTEIN-SATO POLYNOMIALS OF HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENTS

MORIHIKO SAITO

Abstract. We calculate the Bernstein-Sato polynomial (i.e. b-function) of a hyperplane arrangement with a reduced equation by using a generalization of Malgrange's formula together with a solution of Aomoto's conjecture due to Esnault, Schechtman, Viehweg. We show that the roots are greater than -2 and the multiplicity of -1 coincides with the (effective) dimension. We also get an estimate of the multiplicities of the roots in terms of the multiplicities of the arrangement at the dense edges, and give a method to calculate the b-function at least in the case of three variables with generic multiplicity at most 3 and with degree at most 7.

Introduction

Let D be a hypersurface of a smooth affine algebraic variety X with a defining equation f. The Bernstein-Sato polynomial (i.e. b-function) $b_f(s)$ is the monic polynomial of the lowest degree satisfying

$$b_f(s)f^s = Pf^{s+1} \quad \text{with } P \in \mathcal{D}_X[s].$$

Let R_f be the set of the roots of $b_f(-s)$, and m_α the multiplicity of $\alpha \in R_f$. Set $\alpha_f = \min R_f$. This coincides with the minimal jumping coefficient, see [17], [21]. Let $n = \dim X$. Then $R_f \subset \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ (see [19]), and $m_\alpha \le n$ because $b_f(s)$ is closely related to the monodromy on the nearby cycle sheaf $\psi_f\mathbb{C}_X$, see [20], [24]. Define $\widetilde{R}_f, \widetilde{m}_\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha}_f$ by replacing $b_f(s)$ with the reduced (or microlocal) b-function $\widetilde{b}_f(s) := b_f(s)/(s+1)$. Then we have more precisely (see [29]):

$$\widetilde{R}_f \subset [\widetilde{\alpha}_f, n-\widetilde{\alpha}_f], \quad \widetilde{m}_\alpha \le n-\widetilde{\alpha}_f-\alpha+1. \tag{0.1}$$

The first inclusion is optimal in the weighted-homogeneous isolated singularity case where $\max\widetilde{R}_f = n-\widetilde{\alpha}_f$ and $\widetilde{m}_\alpha \le 1$ by Kashiwara. More generally, if D has only an isolated singularity at 0, it is shown by Malgrange [23] that $\widetilde{b}_f(s)$ coincides with the minimal polynomial of $-\partial_t t$ on $\widetilde{H}''_{f,0}/t\widetilde{H}''_{f,0}$ where $\widetilde{H}''_{f,0}$ is the saturation of the Brieskorn lattice $H''_{f,0}$ of f at 0 (see [4]). In the nonisolated singularity case, however, it is very hard to calculate explicitly the b-function without using computer programs.

Assume now D is a central hyperplane arrangement in $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e. the affine cone of a projective hyperplane arrangement Z in $Y = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. We may assume

---

Date: Oct. 17, 2006, v.5.

that D is not the pull-back of an arrangement in a vector space of strictly lower dimension. Let $d=\deg f$. Then

Theorem 1. $\max R_f < 2-\frac{1}{d}$, $m_1=n$.

This is quite different from (0.1) in the case of weighted-homogeneous isolated singularities explained above. Theorem 1 implies that 1 is the only integral root of $b_f(-s)$ for hyperplane arrangements as shown in [34]. For the proof of Theorem 1, we use generalizations of the above formula of Malgrange [23] (see (1.4) below) and a theorem of Esnault, Schechtman and Viehweg [18] on the calculation of certain twisted de Rham cohomology groups, see (2.2) below. Note that the latter enables us to reduce a problem of linear algebra for infinite dimensional vector spaces to the one for finite dimensional vector spaces, see Remark (1.3).

As for the minimal jumping coefficient, we have by Mustaţă [25]

$$\alpha_f=\min\big(\tfrac{n}{d},\alpha'_f\big), \tag{0.2}$$

where $\alpha'_f=\min\bigcup_{x\ne 0}R_{f,x}$ with $R_{f,x}$ the set of the roots of the local b-function $b_{f,x}(s)$ of f at x, see also (1.8) below.

To describe the nonintegral roots of the b-function, we need some terminology from [31]. We say that an edge L of D (i.e. an intersection of irreducible components of D) is *dense* if the irreducible components D_i containing L are identified with an indecomposable arrangement, see [31] for details. Let $\mathcal{DE}(D)$ be the set of dense edges of D. Let m_L be the number of D_i containing L. For $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$, let $\mathcal{DE}(D,\lambda)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{DE}(D)$ consisting of L such that $\lambda^{m_L}=1$. We say that $L,L'\in\mathcal{DE}(D,\lambda)$ are strongly adjacent if $L\subset L'$ or $L\supset L'$ or $L\cap L'$ is nondense. Let $m(\lambda)$ be the maximal number of the elements of subsets S of $\mathcal{DE}(D,\lambda)$ such that any two elements of S are strongly adjacent. Using an embedded resolution of singularities in [31] together with [20], [24], we get

Theorem 2. *We have* $m_\alpha\le m(\lambda)$ *with* $\lambda=\exp(-2\pi i\alpha)$. *In particular,* $R_f\subset\bigcup_{L\in\mathcal{DE}(D)}\mathbb{Z}m_L^{-1}$, *and we have* $m_\alpha=1$ *for any* $\alpha\in R_f\setminus\mathbb{Z}$ *if* $\mathrm{GCD}(m_L,m_{L'})=1$ *for any dense edges* L,L' *of* D *such that* $L\subset L'$ *or* $L\cap L'$ *is nondense.*

If f is generic (i.e. if Z is a divisor with normal crossings) and $d>n$, then U. Walther [34] proved (except for the multiplicity of -1):

$$b_f(s)=(s+1)^{n-1}\textstyle\prod_{k=n}^{2d-2}(s+\frac{k}{d}). \tag{0.3}$$

Note that Theorems 1–2 and (0.2) imply that $b_f(s)$ is a divisor of the right-hand side since the dense edges in this case consist of the irreducible components D_i and the origin 0 where $m_{D_i}=1$ and $m_0=d$. We can prove the equality using a calculation of the spectrum as in [30], see also (4.10) below. We also calculate some examples in the nongeneric case, see (4.10), (4.12). It seems quite difficult to find a combinatorial formula for the b-function of a nongeneric hyperplane arrangement, see (4.11) for the case of three variables with generic multiplicity at most 3 and with degree at most 7.

I would like to thank Budur, Dimca, and Mustaţă for useful discussions and comments about the subject of this paper.

In Section 1 we review basic facts from the theory of multiplier ideals, b-function and spectrum, see [30]. In Section 2 we review and partially generalize the theory of Esnault, Schechtman and Viehweg on Aomoto's conjecture. In Section 3 we prove the main theorems, and calculate the nearby cycle sheaf in certain cases. In Section 4 we show how to calculate the b-function in certain cases including the generic case.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Bernstein-Sato polynomials. Let X be a complex manifold, and D be a hypersurface defined by a holomorphic function f. We have a canonical injection of $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$-modules

$$M := \mathcal{D}_X[s]f^s \subset \mathcal{B}_f := \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\partial_t],$$

such that f^s is sent to $1 \otimes 1$, where $s = -\partial_t t$, see [19], [23]. Note that $\mathcal{B}_f$ is the direct image of $\mathcal{O}_X$ by the graph embedding $i_f : X \to X \times \mathbb{C}$ as a $\mathcal{D}$-module, and the action of $\mathcal{D}_{X\times\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathcal{B}_f$ is defined by identifying $1 \otimes 1$ with the delta function $\delta(t - f)$.

The b-function (i.e. Bernstein-Sato polynomial) $b_f(s)$ is the minimal polynomial of the action of s on M/tM. Since M/tM is holonomic, the b-function exists if X is (relatively) compact or X, f are algebraic. This implies also that the b-function of an algebraic function coincides with the b-function of the associated analytic function. Restricting to the stalk at $x \in D$, we can also define the local b-function $b_{f,x}(s)$. Note that the global b-function is the least common multiple of the local b-functions if X is affine or Stein. In the case D is an affine cone, the global b-function coincides with the local b-function at 0.

By Kashiwara [20] and Malgrange [24], $\mathcal{B}_f$ has the filtration V together with a canonical isomorphism of perverse sheaves

$$\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha}\mathcal{B}_f) = \psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X[n-1] \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0,\ \lambda = \exp(-2\pi i\alpha), \tag{1.1.1}$$

such that $\exp(-2\pi i\partial_t t)$ on the left-hand side corresponds to the monodromy T on the right-hand side. Here DR_X denotes the de Rham functor inducing an equivalence of categories between regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules and perverse sheaves, and $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X = \mathrm{Ker}(T_s - \lambda) \subset \psi_f\mathbb{C}_X$ in the abelian category of shifted perverse sheaves [3], where $\psi_f\mathbb{C}_X$ is the nearby cycle sheaf [12], and T_s is the semisimple part of the monodromy.

Let G be the increasing filtration on $\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha}\mathcal{B}_f$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1]$) defined by

$$t^i(G_i\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha}\mathcal{B}_f) = \mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha+i}M \subset \mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha+i}\mathcal{B}_f \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.1.2}$$

where $G_i\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha}\mathcal{B}_f = 0$ for $i < 0$ (because $M \subset V^{>0}\mathcal{B}_f$ by [19]). Then

$$t^i : \mathrm{Gr}_i^G\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha}\mathcal{B}_f \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha+i}(M/tM) \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

and we get

$$m_{\alpha+i} = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid N^k\mathrm{Gr}_i^G\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha}\mathcal{B}_f = 0\} \text{ for } \alpha \in (0, 1], i \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.1.3}$$

where $N = \partial_t t - \alpha$. In particular, $m_\alpha \le n$ for any α.

1.2. Hodge and pole order filtrations. Let X, D, f be as above, and F_x denote the Milnor fiber of f at $x \in D$, i.e.

$$F_x = \{z \in X \,\big|\, |z-x| < \varepsilon, f(z) = \delta\} \quad \text{for } 0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1,$$

where $|z-x|$ is defined by choosing a local coordinate system of X. Then the Milnor cohomology $H^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})$ has a canonical mixed Hodge structure, see [26]. This can be defined also by using [28] because we have a canonical isomorphism

$$R^j i_x^* \psi_f \mathbb{C}_X = H^j(F_x, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $i_x : \{x\} \to X$ is the natural inclusion. Let T_s denote the semisimple part of the monodromy T. We have the decompositions

$$\psi_f \mathbb{C}_X = \textstyle\bigoplus_\lambda \psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X, \quad H^j(F_x, \mathbb{C}) = \textstyle\bigoplus_\lambda H^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda,$$

such that the action of T_s on $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X, H^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$ is the multiplication by $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_f$ denote the Gauss-Manin system of the highest degree associated with f at $x \in D$. Let $\mathcal{G}_f^{(0)}$ be the Brieskorn lattice [4], i.e.

$$\mathcal{G}_f^{(0)} = H''_{f,x}/\text{Torsion} \quad \text{with} \quad H''_{f,x} = \Omega^n_{X,x}/(df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}_{X,x}).$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}_f$ is the localization of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ by the action of ∂_t^{-1}. Here $\partial_t^{-1}\omega$ is defined by $df \wedge \eta$ with $d\eta = \omega$, and the action of t is defined by the multiplication by f. It is known that the t-torsion of H''_f coincides with the ∂_t^{-1}-torsion, see e.g. [2]. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_f^{(0)}$ be the saturation of $\mathcal{G}_f^{(0)}$, i.e.

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_f^{(0)} = \textstyle\sum_{i \ge 0} (\partial_t t)^i \mathcal{G}_f^{(0)} = \textstyle\sum_{i \ge 0} (t\partial_t)^i \mathcal{G}_f^{(0)}.$$

Set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_f^{(-i)} = \partial_t^i \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_f^{(0)}, \quad \mathcal{G}_f^{(-i)} = \partial_t^i \mathcal{G}_f^{(0)}.$$

Let V denote the filtration of Kashiwara and Malgrange. We have canonical isomorphisms

$$H^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = \mathrm{Gr}_V^\alpha \mathcal{G}_f \quad \text{for } \lambda = \exp(-2\pi i\alpha) \text{ with } \alpha \in (0,1], \tag{1.2.1}$$

such that the monodromy T corresponds to $\exp(-2\pi i \partial_t t)$. Using the (1.2.1), we define decreasing filtrations P and $\widetilde{P}$ on the Milnor cohomology so that

$$\begin{aligned} P^{n-1-i} H^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda &= \mathrm{Gr}_V^\alpha \mathcal{G}_f^{(-i)}, \\ \widetilde{P}^{n-1-i} H^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda &= Gr_V^\alpha \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_f^{(-i)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2.2}$$

Note that, if there is a vector field ξ such that $\xi f = f$, then

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_f^{(-i)} = \mathcal{G}_f^{(-i)}, \quad \widetilde{P} = P. \tag{1.2.3}$$

1.3. Remark. In general it is quite difficult to calculate the filtration P even if D is an affine cone. In this case we have the decomposition of the Brieskorn module at the origin $H''_{f,0} = \widehat{\bigoplus}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (H''_{f,0})_i$ by using the degree such that $\deg x_i = \deg dx_i = 1$. Then the action of $\partial_t t$ on $(H''_{f,0})_i$ is the multiplication by i/d where $d = \deg f$, and each $(H''_{f,0})_i$ can be calculated by using only finite dimensional vector spaces. However, we have to consider the inductive system $\{(H''_{f,0})_{i+kd}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by the multiplication by f to kill the t-torsion, and we get a problem concerning infinite dimensional vector spaces.

The following is a generalization of [23], and is shown in [30].

1.4. Theorem. *The filtration* $\widetilde{P}$ *on* $H^{n-1}(F_x,\mathbb{C})_\lambda$ *contains the Hodge filtration* F*, and for any* $\alpha\in\mathbb{Q}$ *such that* $\lambda=\exp(-2\pi i\alpha)$*, we have the following*:
(i) *If* $\mathrm{Gr}^p_{\widetilde{P}}H^{n-1}(F_x,\mathbb{C})_\lambda\ne 0$ *with* $p=[n-\alpha]$*, then* α *is a root of* $b_{f,x}(-s)$*.*
(ii) *If* $\alpha+i$ *is not a root of* $b_{f,y}(-s)$ *for any* $y\ne x$ *and any* $i\in\mathbb{N}$*, then the converse of the assertion* (i) *holds.*
(iii) *If* λ *is not an eigenvalue of the Milnor monodromy at* $y\ne x$*, then the multiplicity of the root* α *coincides with the degree of the minimal polynomial of the action of the monodromy on* $\mathrm{Gr}^p_{\widetilde{P}}H^{n-1}(F_x,\mathbb{C})_\lambda$*.*

1.5. Affine cone case. Assume $X=\mathbb{C}^n$, and D is the affine cone of a divisor Z of degree d on $Y:=\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. There is a cyclic covering $\pi:\widetilde{Y}\to Y$ of degree d which is ramified along Z. Put $U=Y\setminus Z$, $\widetilde{U}=\pi^{-1}(U)$. Then $\widetilde{U}$ is identified with the Milnor fiber F_0 of a function f defining the affine cone D of Z, and the geometric Milnor monodromy corresponds to a generator of the covering transformation group of $\widetilde{U}\to U$.

For $k=1,\dots,d$, let $L^{(k/d)}$ be the direct factor of $\pi_*\mathbb{C}_{\widetilde{U}}$ on which the action of the Milnor monodromy is the multiplication by $\exp(-2\pi ik/d)$. Then $L^{(k/d)}$ is a local system of rank 1 on U, and

$$H^j(U,L^{(k/d)})=H^j(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda, \tag{1.5.1}$$

where $\lambda=\exp(-2\pi ik/d)$. Let $\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ be the meromorphic extension of $L^{(k/d)}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{O}_U$. This is a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_Y$-module on which the action of a function h defining Z is bijective. We see that $\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ is locally isomorphic to a free $\mathcal{O}_Y(*Z)$-module generated by a multivalued function $h_j^{-k/d}$ where $h_j=x_j^{-d}f$ on $\{x_j\ne 0\}\subset\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Note that the $\mathcal{O}_Y$-submodule generated locally by $h_j^{-k/d}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_Y(k)$.

The pole order filtration $P_i\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ is defined to be the locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-submodule of $\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ generated by $h_j^{-i-(k/d)}$ on $\{x_j\ne 0\}$ for $i\in\mathbb{N}$, and $P_i\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}=0$ for $i<0$. Then $P_i\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_Y(id+k)$. On the other hand, there is the Hodge filtration F on $\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ such that $F_i\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}=P_i\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ outside $\mathrm{Sing}\,Z_{\mathrm{red}}$ for any i by the theory of mixed Hodge modules. Then we have $F_i\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}\subset P_i\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ on Y because $P_i\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ is locally free and $\mathrm{Sing}\,Z_{\mathrm{red}}$ has codimension ≥ 2 in Y, see also [16].

The Hodge and pole order filtrations are closely related respectively to the spectrum [33] and the b-function of f. Indeed, the Hodge filtration F on $\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}$ induces the Hodge filtration on the Milnor cohomology by taking the de Rham cohomology. Similarly the pole order filtration P on the Milnor cohomology is defined by using the de Rham cohomology. Here the filtration is shifted by the degree of the differential forms $n-1$, and the associated decreasing filtration is used.

The following two propositions are proved in [30].

1.6. Proposition. *The pole order filtration* P *in* (1.5) *coincides with the filtration* $\widetilde{P}=P$ *in* (1.2.3). *Moreover, for* $\alpha=k/d\in(0,1)$ *and* $\lambda=\exp(-2\pi i\alpha)$*, we can identify* P^{n-1-j} *for* $j\in\mathbb{N}$ *in* (1.5) *with the image of*

$$\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha+j}\mathcal{G}_f^{(0)}\subset\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha+j}\mathcal{G}_f\simeq\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha}\mathcal{G}_f\simeq H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda,$$

where the middle isomorphism can be induced by both ∂_t^j *and* t^{-j}*, and the last morphism is induced by* (1.2.1).

1.7. Proposition. *With the notation of* (1.2)*, assume* $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n-1+k}H^{n-1}(F_x,\mathbb{C})_\lambda\neq 0$ *for a positive integer* k*, where* W *is the weight filtration. Then* $N^k\neq 0$ *on* $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X$ *in the category of shifted perverse sheaves, where* N *is the logarithm of the unipotent part of the monodromy* T.

1.8. Multiplier ideals and spectrum. Let $D\subset X$ be the affine cone of a divisor $Z\subset\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with a defining equation f. Let $R_{f,x}$ be the set of the roots of $b_{f,x}(-s)$, and set

$$\alpha'_f=\min_{x\neq 0}\{\alpha_{f,x}\}\quad\text{with}\quad\alpha_{f,x}=\min R_{f,x}.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_0$ be the ideal sheaf of $\{0\}\subset X=\mathbb{C}^n$, and $\mathcal{J}(X,\alpha D)$ be the multiplier ideal sheaf, see [17], [22]. By [25], [30], we have

$$\mathcal{J}(X,\alpha D)=\mathcal{I}_0^k\ \text{ with } k=[d\alpha]-n+1,\ \text{ if } \alpha<\alpha'_f. \tag{1.8.1}$$

In particular, j/d is a jumping coefficient of D at 0 for $n\le j<d\alpha'_f$. (This is due to [25] in the case of hyperplane arrangements.)

Let JC_f denote the set of jumping coefficients of the divisor D. We have by [21], [17] and [30] respectively

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_f=\min\mathrm{JC}_f=\min R_f,\\&\mathrm{JC}_f\cap(0,1)\subset R_f\cap(0,1),\\&\mathrm{JC}_f\cap(0,\alpha'_f)=R_f\cap(0,\alpha'_f).\end{aligned}\tag{1.8.2}$$

By (1.8.1) together with [6], [7], the coefficient $m_{k/d}$ of the spectrum $\mathrm{Sp}(f,0)$ in the sense of [33] (up to a shift of index) is given by $\binom{k-1}{n-1}$ for $0<k/d<\alpha'_f$, i.e.

$$\dim F^{n-1}H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}=\tbinom{k-1}{n-1}\ \text{ if } 0<k/d<\alpha'_f, \tag{1.8.3}$$

where $\mathbf{e}(-k/d)=\exp(-2\pi ik/d)$. Here $\binom{k-1}{n-1}=0$ if $k<n$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}P_0\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)}\simeq\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(k-n),\\&\dim\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^{n-1},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(k-n))=\tbinom{k-1}{n-1},\end{aligned}\tag{1.8.4}$$

and $F^{n-1}\subset P^{n-1}$, we get

$$\dim P^{n-1}H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}=\tbinom{k-1}{n-1}\ \text{ if } 0<k/d<\alpha'_f. \tag{1.8.5}$$

2. Cohomology of twisted de Rham complexes

In this section we review and partially generalize the theory of Esnault, Schechtman and Viehweg on Aomoto's conjecture. This enables us to avoid a problem concerning infinite dimensional vector spaces mentioned in Remark (1.3).

2.1. Twisted de Rham complexes. Let D be a central hyperplane arrangement in $X=\mathbb{C}^n$ with a reduced equation f of degree d. Here central means that D is the affine cone of a projective hyperplane arrangement Z in $Y=\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Note that the b-function of a global defining equation of the affine cone D of a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is equal to that of a local equation at $0\in D$, using the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Let $Z_i\,(1\le i\le d)$ be the irreducible components of Z where $d=\deg Z$. By [5], [18], [31], the cohomology of the local systems on $U:=Y\setminus Z$ in (1.5) can be calculated as follows:

Let $x_1,\dots,x_n$ be coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $Z_d=\{x_n=0\}$. Then the complement Y' of Z_d in Y is identified with $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Let g_i be a polynomial of degree 1 on $Y'=\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ defining $Z_i':=Z_i\cap Y'$. Put

$$\omega_i=dg_i/g_i \quad \text{for} \quad 1\le i\le d-1.$$

For $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{d-1})\in\mathbb{C}^{d-1}$, let

$$h=g_1\cdots g_{d-1},\quad h^\alpha=g_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots g_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}},$$

and $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}h^\alpha$ be a free $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$-module of rank 1 on Y' with formal generator h^α. There is a regular singular integrable connection ∇ such that for $u\in\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$

$$\nabla(uh^\alpha)=(du)h^\alpha+u\omega h^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \omega=\textstyle\sum_{i<d}\alpha_i\omega_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}^p_{h,\alpha}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace of $\Gamma(U,\Omega^p_U h^\alpha)$ generated by $\omega_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{i_p}h^\alpha$ for any $i_1<\cdots<i_p$. Then $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{h,\alpha}$ with differential $\omega\wedge$ is a subcomplex of $\Gamma(U,\Omega^\bullet_U h^\alpha)$. Put $\alpha_d=-\sum_{i<d}\alpha_i$. By [5], [18], [31], we have the canonical quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{h,\alpha}\xrightarrow{\sim}\Gamma(U,\Omega^\bullet_U h^\alpha), \tag{2.1.1}$$

if the following condition holds for any dense edge L of Z:

$$\alpha_L:=\textstyle\sum_{Z_i\supset L}\alpha_i\notin\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}. \tag{2.1.2}$$

In the case of a constant local system (i.e. $\alpha_i=0$), this is due to Brieskorn [5], and we have

$$\dim\mathcal{A}^i_{h,\alpha}=b_i(U). \tag{2.1.3}$$

Under a condition stronger than (2.1.2), the quasi-isomorphism (2.1.1) is shown in [18] as a solution of Aomoto's conjecture, and condition (2.1.2) is due to [31]. If Z is *generic* (i.e. if Z is a divisor with normal crossings), then condition (2.1.2) is equivalent to $\alpha_i\notin\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}$ for any integer i in $[1,d]$ (because the dense edges consist of the Z_i in this case), and [18] is sufficient in this case.

2.2. Partial generalization. In the above argument, we assume $\sum_{i=1}^d\alpha_i=0$, i.e. the $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module with meromorphic connection is a trivial line bundle. It is easy to satisfy the condition (2.1.2), if we can use a nontrivial line bundle E with a meromorphic connection. In this case we have

$$\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{d}\alpha_i = -k \quad \text{if } E \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(k) \text{ with } k \ge 0,$$

and we may even assume $\alpha_i \le 0$ for any i.

Let $(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}) \to (Y, Z)$ be an embedded resolution obtained by blowing up along the proper transforms of certain edges of Z. Then the pull-back $(\widetilde{E}, \nabla)$ of (E, ∇) is a logarithmic connection, and $H^j(U, \Omega_U^{\bullet} h^{\alpha})$ is calculated by the hypercohomology of the logarithmic de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\widetilde{E})$ whose pth component is $\Omega_{\widetilde{Y}}^p(\log \widetilde{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{E}$, see [10]. It is not clear if there is a simple formula as in (2.1) in this case. However, we can show for each p the following by increasing induction on k and n:

$$H^j(\widetilde{Y}, \Omega_{\widetilde{Y}}^p(\log \widetilde{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{E}) = 0 \quad \text{if } j > 0. \tag{2.2.1}$$

Note that it has nothing to do with the connection. If $k = 0$ (i.e. if $E = \mathcal{O}_Y$), then (2.2.1) follows from [5] and the E_1-degeneration of the Hodge spectral sequence [11], see [18]. If $k > 0$, take a sufficiently generic hyperplane H of Y, and consider the pull-back to $\widetilde{Y}$ of the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y(k-1) \to \mathcal{O}_Y(k) \to \mathcal{O}_H(k) \to 0.$$

This pull-back is exact, because H intersects each edge of Z transversally. This implies also that the pull-back $\widetilde{H}$ of H gives an embedded resolution of $(H, H \cap Z)$, and we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to N^*_{\widetilde{H}/\widetilde{Y}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Omega_{\widetilde{H}}^{p-1}(\log \widetilde{Z}_H) \to \Omega_{\widetilde{Y}}^p(\log \widetilde{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{H}} \to \Omega_{\widetilde{H}}^p(\log \widetilde{Z}_H) \to 0,$$

where $\widetilde{Z}_H = \widetilde{Z} \cap \widetilde{H}$ and $N^*_{\widetilde{H}/\widetilde{Y}}$ is the conormal bundle of $\widetilde{H}$ in $\widetilde{Y}$. The latter is isomorphic to the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_H(-1)$ because $\widetilde{H}$ is the total transform of H. So we can proceed by increasing induction on n, and the assertion is clear if $n = 2$ (i.e. if $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$) because $d > n$.

By (2.2.1) the restriction to U induces the canonical quasi-isomorphism

$$\Gamma(\widetilde{Y}, \Omega_{\widetilde{Y}}^{\bullet}(\log \widetilde{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(U, \Omega_U^{\bullet} h^{\alpha}). \tag{2.2.2}$$

3. b-Functions of hyperplane arrangements

In this section we first prove the main theorems, and then calculate the nearby cycle sheaf in some simple case.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1. For the proof of $m_1 = n$, note that $\omega_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_{n-1}} \ne 0$ for some $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})$ by hypothesis. Since this defines a nonzero logarithmic $(n-1)$-form of type $(n-1, n-1)$ on any embedded resolution of (Y, Z), we get

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{2n-2} H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbb{C})_1 \ne 0,$$

and the assertion follows from (1.7) together with (1.1.3).

We now prove the assertion on $\max R_f$. We proceed by induction on n. We may assume that D does not come from an arrangement in a lower dimensional vector space, and the assertion holds for the roots of $b_{f,x}(-s)$ at any $x \in D \setminus \{0\}$, taking a transversal space to each edge. Then by (1.4), it is enough to show

$$\mathrm{Gr}_P^i H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)} = 0 \ \text{ for } \begin{cases} i < n-2 & \text{if } \ k \in [1, d-2] \\ i < n-1 & \text{if } \ k = d-1, d, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{e}(-k/d) = \exp(-2\pi i k/d)$. So the assertion for $k = d$ follows from the above argument. For each $k \in [1, d-1]$, we apply the argument in (2.2) to the case $\alpha_i = -k/d$ for any $1 \le i \le d$ so that $E = \mathcal{O}_Y(k)$. Then (2.2.2) implies that

$$H^{n-1}(U, \Omega_U^\bullet h^{k/d}) \ (= H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)})$$

is generated by

$$\Gamma(\widetilde{Y}, \Omega_{\widetilde{Y}}^{n-1}(\log \widetilde{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \widetilde{E}),$$

which is identified with a subspace $\Gamma(Y, \Omega_Y^{n-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E(*Z))$. But this subspace is contained in $\Gamma(Y, \Omega_Y^{n-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} P_1\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)})$ looking at the pole along the generic point of the proper transform of each irreducible component of Z. So the assertion follows if $k \in [1, d-2]$.

For $k = d-1$, let $\alpha_i = 1/d$ if $k \in [2, d]$, and $\alpha_1 = 1/d - 1$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = 0$. Then (2.1.2) is satisfied (because $|m_L| < d$), and (2.1.1) holds. Let V be the subspace of $\mathcal{A}_{h,\alpha}^{n-1}$ generated by $\omega_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_{n-1}} h^\alpha$ for $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}\} \subset \{2, \ldots, d\}$. Then we see that

$$\mathcal{A}_{h,\alpha}^{n-1} = d\mathcal{A}_{h,\alpha}^{n-2} + V,$$

and V is a subspace of $\Gamma(Y, \Omega_Y^{n-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} P_0\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)})$. So the assertion follows in this case. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3.2. Nearby cycles in the normal crossing case. Let f be a holomorphic function on a complex manifold X such that $D := f^{-1}(0)$ is a divisor with normal crossings. Let m_i be the multiplicity of f along each irreducible component D_i of D. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ of finite order $N > 1$ (i.e. $\lambda^N = 1$). Then for a general point x of $D_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$, it is well known that $H^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda \ne 0$ if and only if N divides m_i for any $i \in I$, see [32].

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let $I(\lambda) = \{i \mid \lambda^{m_i} = 1\}$. Let W be the weight filtration on $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X$, which coincides with the monodromy filtration with center $n-1$, i.e.

$$N^i : \mathrm{Gr}_{n-1+i}^W \psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gr}_{n-1-i}^W \psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X \quad \text{for } i > 0, \tag{3.2.1}$$

where $N = \log T_u$ with T_u the unipotent part of the monodromy T. (This holds without assuming the normal crossing condition.) Let m_x be the smallest positive integer such that $N^{m_x} = 0$ on the restriction of $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X$ to a sufficiently small neighborhood of x. Then

$$m_x = \#\{i \in I(\lambda) \mid x \in D_i\}, \tag{3.2.2}$$

This follows from the construction of the weight filtration in [32] (see also [28], 3.3).

3.3. Proof of Theorem 2. We have an embedded resolution $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{D})$ of (X, D) by blowing up along the proper transforms of the dense edges of D by increasing induction on the dimension of the edge as in [31] (choosing an order of the edges of the same dimension if necessary although the resolution does not depend on the order). Let $\widetilde{D}_L$ be the proper transform of the exceptional divisor associated to the blowing-up along the proper transform of L. Here $\widetilde{D}_L$ is the proper transform of L if L is an irreducible component of D. Since the multiplicity of the pull-back $\widetilde{f}$ of f along $\widetilde{D}_L$ is m_L, the assertion follows from (3.2) and (1.1.3).

The following will be used in explicit calculations of b-functions.

3.4. Eigenvalues of the monodromy supported on the origin. It is known that the Euler characteristic of $U := \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \setminus Z$ vanishes if and only if D is decomposable (i.e. there is a decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n'} \times \mathbb{C}^{n''}$ such that D is the union of the pull-backs of arrangements on $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n''}$), see e.g. [31]. This implies that, if the support of $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X$ is contained in $\{0\}$, then

$$H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = 0 \Leftrightarrow D \text{ is decomposable.} \tag{3.4.1}$$

Here $\lambda = \exp(-2\pi ik/d)$ for some integer k in $[1, d-1]$, and $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X$ is identified with $H^{n-1}(F_0, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$ where $H^j(F_0, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = 0$ for $j \neq n-1$ because $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X$ is a shifted perverse sheaf supported on a point, see also [8]. So (3.4.1) follows from the fact that the $H^j(F_0, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$ are calculated by the cohomology of a local system $L^{(k/d)}$ of rank 1 on U by (1.5) so that

$$\textstyle\sum_j (-1)^j \dim H^j(F_0, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = \chi(U, L^{(k/d)}) = \chi(U). \tag{3.4.2}$$

3.5. Nearby cycle sheaf in a simple case. Let D^{nnc} be the smallest closed subset of D such that D is a divisor with normal crossings outside D^{nnc}. Assume

$$\dim D^{\mathrm{nnc}} = 1.$$

In this case, it is relatively easy to calculate the nearby cycle sheaf $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X$. The embedded resolution $\pi : (\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{D}) \to (X, D)$ is obtained by blowing up X first along the origin, and then along the proper transforms D'^{nnc}_j of the irreducible components D^{nnc}_j $(j \in J)$ of D^{nnc}. Let $\widetilde{E}^{\mathrm{nnc}}_j$ be the inverse image of D'^{nnc}_j in $\widetilde{X}$. Let $\widetilde{E} \subset \widetilde{X}$ be the proper transform of the exceptional divisor E of the blowing-up along the origin. This is the blow-up of $E = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ along the intersection points P_j of D'^{nnc}_j with E. Let $\widetilde{E}''_j$ denote the inverse image of P_j in $\widetilde{E}$. This is the intersection of $\widetilde{E}$ with $\widetilde{E}^{\mathrm{nnc}}_j$. Let $\widetilde{D}' \subset \widetilde{D}$ be the proper transform of D, and $\widetilde{Z} = \widetilde{E} \cap \widetilde{D}'$. Then $\bigcup_j \widetilde{E}''_j \cup \widetilde{Z}$ is a divisor with norma crossings on $\widetilde{E}$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $\lambda^d = 1$ where $d = \deg f$. Let m_j be the multiplicity of D along D^{nnc}_j, and $J(\lambda) = \{j \in J \mid \lambda^{m_j} = 1\}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{E}'_\lambda = \textstyle\bigcup_{j \in J(\lambda)} \widetilde{E}^{\mathrm{nnc}}_j, \quad \widetilde{E}''_\lambda = \textstyle\bigcup_{j \in J(\lambda)} \widetilde{E}''_j, \\ &U_\lambda = \widetilde{E} \setminus \big(\textstyle\bigcup_{j \notin J(\lambda)} \widetilde{E}''_j \cup \widetilde{Z}\big), \quad U'_\lambda = \widetilde{E}'_\lambda \setminus \widetilde{D}', \quad V_\lambda = \widetilde{E}''_\lambda \setminus \widetilde{D}', \end{aligned}$$

with the inclusions $j_{U_\lambda} : U_\lambda \to \widetilde{E}$, $j_{U'_\lambda} : U'_\lambda \to \widetilde{E}'_\lambda$, $j_{V_\lambda} : V_\lambda \to \widetilde{E}''_\lambda$. Let $\widetilde{f} = \pi f$, and W be the weight filtration on $\psi_{\widetilde{f},\lambda}\mathbb{C}_{\widetilde{X}}$, $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X$ as in (3.2.1). Then

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n-1+i}\psi_{\widetilde{f},\lambda}\mathbb{C}_{\widetilde{X}} = \begin{cases} (j_{V_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{V_\lambda}(-1)[-1] & \text{if } i = 1, \\ (j_{U_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{U_\lambda} \oplus (j_{U'_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{U'_\lambda} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (j_{V_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{V_\lambda}[-1] & \text{if } i = -1, \end{cases}$$

and it vanishes otherwise, where $L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}$, $L^\lambda_{U'_\lambda}$, $L^\lambda_{V_\lambda}$ are local systems of rank 1 on $U_\lambda, U'_\lambda, V_\lambda$, and $L^\lambda_{V_\lambda}$ is naturally isomorphic to the restrictions of $L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}$, $L^\lambda_{U'_\lambda}$. Note that the restriction of $L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}$ to $\widetilde{E} \setminus \big(\bigcup_{j\in J}\widetilde{E}''_j \cup \widetilde{Z}\big)$ is isomorphic to $L^{(k/d)}$ in (1.5) where $\lambda = \exp(-2\pi ik/d)$. Similarly $L^\lambda_{V_\lambda}$ is isomorphic to $L^{(k/d)}$ in (1.5) applied to a transversal space to D^{nnc}_j. This implies

$$H^j(\widetilde{E}''_\lambda, (j_{V_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{V_\lambda}) = 0, \; R^j\pi_*(j_{U'_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{U'_\lambda} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \ne n-2. \tag{3.5.1}$$

We have the weight spectral sequence associated with the direct image and defined in the category of perverse sheaves on D:

$$E_1^{i,j-i} = {}^pR^j\pi_*\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n-1-i}(\psi_{\widetilde{f},\lambda}\mathbb{C}_{\widetilde{X}}[n-1]) \Rightarrow {}^pR^j\pi_*(\psi_{\widetilde{f},\lambda}\mathbb{C}_{\widetilde{X}}[n-1]),$$

which degenerates at E^2 (see [28]). Here ${}^pR^j\pi_* = {}^p\mathcal{H}^j\mathbf{R}\pi_*$ with $\mathcal{H}^j$ the perverse cohomology functor. We have

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_*\psi_{\widetilde{f},\lambda}\mathbb{C}_{\widetilde{X}} = \psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X,$$

and hence $E_2^{i,j-i} = 0$ unless $j = 0$. Combined with (3.5.1), this implies

$$E_1^{i,j-i} = 0 \quad \text{unless } i = 0, |j| \le 1 \text{ or } |i| = 1, j = 0,$$

So we get

$$H^j(\widetilde{E}, (j_{U_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}) = 0 \quad \text{for } |j-n+1| > 1,$$

and

$$\dim(\mathrm{Gr}^W_n\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X)_0 = \dim H^{n-2}(\widetilde{E}''_\lambda, (j_{V_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{V_\lambda}) - \dim H^{n-2}(\widetilde{E}, (j_{U_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}). \tag{3.5.2}$$

Since L_{U_λ} is a local system of rank 1 whose monodromy around $\bigcup_{j\notin J(\lambda)}\widetilde{E}''_j \cup \widetilde{Z}$ is nontrivial, we have

$$(j_{U_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{U_\lambda} = \mathbf{R}(j_{U_\lambda})_* L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}.$$

Let $j_\lambda : \widetilde{E} \setminus \widetilde{E}''_\lambda \to \widetilde{E}$ denote the inclusion morphism. Then we have the weight spectral sequence (degenerating at E_2)

$$E_1^{i,j-i} = H^j(\widetilde{E}, \mathrm{Gr}^W_{n-1-i}\mathbf{R}(j_\lambda)_* j_\lambda^{-1}(j_{U_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}) \Rightarrow H^j(F_0, \mathbb{C})_\lambda, \tag{3.5.3}$$

because $H^j(F_0, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = H^j(\widetilde{E} \setminus (\cup_{j\in J}\widetilde{E}''_j \cup \widetilde{Z}), L^\lambda_{U_\lambda})$. Here we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n-1+i}\mathbf{R}(j_\lambda)_* j_\lambda^{-1}(j_{U_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}) = \begin{cases} (j_{U_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (j_{V_\lambda})_! L^\lambda_{V_\lambda})(-1)[-1] & \text{if } i = 1, \end{cases}$$

and it vanishes otherwise. So this spectral sequence is quite similar to the above one. Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}&E_1^{i,j-i}=0\ \text{ unless } i=0,\ |j-n+1|\le 1 \text{ or } (i,j)=(-1,n-1),\\ &d_1: E_1^{i,j-i}\to E_1^{i+1,j-i} \text{ vanishes unless } (i,j)=(-1,n-1).\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned}H^{n-2}(\widetilde{E},(j_{U_\lambda})_!L^\lambda_{U_\lambda})&=H^{n-2}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda.\\ H^{n-2}(\widetilde{E}''_\lambda,(j_{V_\lambda})_!L^\lambda_{V_\lambda})&=\textstyle\sum_{j\in J(\lambda)}H^{n-2}(F_{x_j},\mathbb{C})_\lambda,\end{aligned}\tag{3.5.4}$$

where x_j is a general point of D_j^{nnc}. (The latter follows from the above construction of $L^\lambda_{V_\lambda}$.) Combining these with (3.5.2), we see that $N\ne 0$ on $\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X$ if and only if

$$\dim H^{n-2}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda<\textstyle\sum_{j\in J(\lambda)}\dim H^{n-2}(F_{x_j},\mathbb{C})_\lambda.\tag{3.5.5}$$

Note that the self-duality of the E_1-term of the weight spectral sequence implies

$$\dim H^{n-2}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda=\dim H^n(\widetilde{E},(j_{U_\lambda})_!L^\lambda_{U_\lambda}),\tag{3.5.6}$$

and condition (3.5.5) is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_n H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda\ne 0.$$

This also from the weight spectral sequence associated to $i_0^*(\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X,W)$.

It is rather difficult to construct examples such that $\dim H^{n-2}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda\ne 0$ with $\lambda\ne 1$, and this number is quite small compared to the right-hand side of the inequality as far as calculated, see [1], [9], [13] and also Example (4.12)(i) and (iii) below.

If condition (3.5.5) is satisfied for $\lambda=\exp(-2\pi i\alpha)$ with $\alpha\in(1,2)$ and $\alpha-1\notin R_f$, then $m_\alpha=2$ by (1.1.1). In general, however, condition (3.5.5) is not sufficient to determine the multiplicity, and we need further arguments.

3.6. Remark. It may be conjectured that condition (3.5.5) is satisfied whenever $J(\lambda)\ne\emptyset$. This is closely related to the following question of M. Tomari: Does (0.3) hold only for generic central hyperplane arrangements?

4. Explicit calculations of b-functions

4.1. Let D be a central hyperplane arrangement in $X=\mathbb{C}^n$, which is the affine cone of a projective hyperplane arrangement Z in $Y=\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Assume D is indecomposable so that $\chi(U)\ne 0$, where $U=\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\setminus Z$, see (3.4). Let R_f be the set of the roots of $b_f(-s)$, and R'_f be the union of the roots of $b_{f,x}(-s)$ for $x\in D\setminus\{0\}$. Put $\alpha'_f=\min R'_f$. For an integer k in $[1,d]$, let $\mathbf{e}(-k/d)=\exp(-2\pi ik/d)$. Note that (1.5.1) implies

$$\dim H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}=|\chi(U)|\quad\text{if}\quad \tfrac{k}{d}\notin R'_f+\mathbb{Z}.\tag{4.1.1}$$

Indeed, the last condition implies that the perverse sheaf $\psi_{f,\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}\mathbb{C}_X[n-1]$ is supported on $\{0\}$ so that we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\psi_{f,\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}\mathbb{C}_X[n-1]=H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)},\tag{4.1.2}$$

and $H^j(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}=0$ for $j\ne n-1$.

In the case $\frac{k}{d}\in[\alpha'_f,1)$, we *assume* in the notation of (2.1) that there is a subset I of $\{1,\dots,d-1\}$ such that $|I|=k-1$ and condition (2.1.2) is satisfied for

$$\alpha_i=\begin{cases}1-\frac{k}{d} & \text{if } i\in I\cup\{d\},\\ -\frac{k}{d} & \text{if } i\in I^c:=\{1,\dots,d-1\}\setminus I.\end{cases}\tag{4.1.3}$$

Let $V(I)'$ be the vector subspace of $\mathcal{A}^{n-1}_{h,\alpha}$ generated by

$$\omega_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{i_{n-1}}h^{\alpha}\quad\text{for }\{i_1,\dots,i_{n-1}\}\subset I,$$

and $V(I)$ be the image of $V(I)'$ in $H^{n-1}\mathcal{A}^{\bullet}_{h,\alpha}$, where $\alpha=(\alpha_i)$.

We can determine whether $\frac{k}{d}$ and $\frac{k}{d}+1$ belong to R_f in certain cases.

4.2. Theorem. *With the above notation and assumption, we have the following*:

(a) *For $k=d-1$ or d, we have $1-\frac{1}{d},1\in R_f$ and $2-\frac{1}{d},2\notin R_f$.*
(b) *If $\frac{k}{d}<\alpha'_f$, we have $\frac{k}{d}\in R_f$ if and only if $k\ge n$.*
(c) *If $\binom{k-1}{n-1}<\dim H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}$, then $\frac{k}{d}+1\in R_f$.*
(d) *If $\frac{k}{d}<\alpha'_f$, $\frac{k}{d}\notin R'_f+\mathbb{Z}$, and $\binom{k-1}{n-1}=|\chi(U)|$, then $\frac{k}{d}+1\notin R_f$.*
(e) *If $V(I)\ne 0$, then $\frac{k}{d}\in R_f$.*
(f) *If $V(I)=H^{n-1}\mathcal{A}^{\bullet}_{h,\alpha}$, then $\frac{k}{d}+1\notin R_f\setminus R'_f$.*
(g) *If $V(I)\ne H^{n-1}\mathcal{A}^{\bullet}_{h,\alpha}$ and $\dim V(I)'=\binom{k-1}{n-1}$, then $\frac{k}{d}+1\in R_f$.*

Proof. The assertions (a)–(d) follow from Theorem 1 together with (1.8) and (3.4). For the remaining assertions, note that $V(I)$ is identified with a subspace of $P^{n-1}H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}$ by (1.6) and (2.1.1). Then the assertions follow from (1.4) and (1.8.4) because $V(I)'$ is identified with a subspace of $\Gamma(Y,\Omega_Y^{n-1}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}P_0\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)})$. (Note that he last hypothesis of (g) is satisfied if the arrangement is rather simple, see (4.8) below.) This completes the proof of Theorem (4.2).

4.3. Calculation in the generic case. In the case of generic central hyperplane arrangements, we have $\alpha'_f=1$, $R'_f=\{1\}$. So Walther's formula (0.3) follows from Theorems 1–2 together with (b), (c), (d) in (4.2) because $|\chi(U)|=\binom{d-2}{n-1}$ in this case (see [9], [27]).

4.4. Calculation in the nongeneric case. With the notation of (4.1), assume $n=3$ and $\mathrm{mult}_z Z\le 3$ for any $z\in Z$. Then we can calculate the b-function using Theorem (4.2) if its hypotheses can be verified by using lemmas as below.

We first note that if g_i,g_j,g_l have a common zero in the notation of (2.1), then

$$\omega_i\wedge\omega_j+\omega_j\wedge\omega_l+\omega_l\wedge\omega_i=0.\tag{4.4.1}$$

By Lemma (4.6) below, these are the only relations between the $\omega_i\wedge\omega_j$. Note that $\omega_i\wedge\omega_j=0$ if $Z'_i\cap Z'_j=\emptyset$ (i.e. if $Z'_i,Z'_j\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ are parallel).

Let $\omega'=\alpha_i\omega_i+\alpha_j\omega_j+\alpha_l\omega_l$ with $\alpha_i,\alpha_j,\alpha_l\in\mathbb{C}^*$. Then (4.4.1) implies

$$\mathbb{C}\omega_i\wedge\omega'\ne\mathbb{C}\omega_j\wedge\omega'\Leftrightarrow\alpha_i+\alpha_j+\alpha_l\ne 0.\tag{4.4.2}$$

Moreover, if $\alpha_i+\alpha_j+\alpha_l\ne 0$, then (4.4.2) implies for $\eta=\beta_i\omega_i+\beta_j\omega_j+\beta_l\omega_l$ with $\beta_i,\beta_j,\beta_l\in\mathbb{C}$

$$\eta\wedge\omega'=0\Leftrightarrow\eta=\gamma\omega'\quad\text{for some }\gamma\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{4.4.3}$$

Indeed, setting $\omega'_i=\omega_i\wedge\omega'$, we have two relations $\alpha_i\omega'_i+\alpha_j\omega'_j+\alpha_l\omega'_l=0$ and $\beta_i\omega'_i+\beta_j\omega'_j+\beta_l\omega'_l=0$, and they must coincide up to a constant multiple because $\omega'_i,\omega'_j,\omega'_l$ span a subspace of dimension 2 by (4.4.2).

To examine the assumption of (e) in (4.2), we need some terminology. Let I be as in (4.1). We assume $n=3$ and $\mathrm{mult}_zZ\le 3$ as above. Put $Z'(J)=\bigcup_{i\in J}Z'_i$ for $J\subset I$. We say that $i,i'\in I$ are *strongly* I^c*-connected* if $Z'(I^c)\supset Z'_i\cap Z'_{i'}\ne\emptyset$. We say that $i,i'\in I$ are I^c*-connected* if there are $i_0,\dots,i_r$ such that $i_0=i$, $i_r=i'$, and i_{l-1},i_l are strongly I^c-connected for any $l\in[1,r]$. We say that $I'\subset I$ is an I^c*-connected component* if any $i,i'\in I$ are I^c-connected and I' is a maximal subset of I satisfying this property. We say that $I'\subset I$ is a *good* (resp. *bad*) I^c*-connected component* if I' is an I^c-connected component of I and $Z'(I')\cap Z'(I^c)$ does not contain a smooth point (resp. contains at least two smooth points) of $Z'(I')$. Note that $Z'_i\cap Z'_j$ may be nonempty even if i and j belong to different I^c-connected components of I.

4.5. Lemma. *Assume* $n=3$. *Let* I *be as in* (4.1) *so that* (2.1.2) *is satisfied for* (4.1.3). *Assume* $\alpha_i+\alpha_j+\alpha_l\ne 0$ *if* $Z'_i\cap Z'_j\subset Z'_l$ *with* $i,j\in I^c$ *and* $l\in I$. *Let* c *be the number of good* I^c*-connected components of* I *in the above notation. Then*

$$\dim d\mathcal{A}^1_{h,\alpha}\cap V(I)'\le c,\ \textit{i.e.}\ \dim V(I)\ge\dim V(I)'-c. \tag{4.5.1}$$

Moreover, the equality holds if $H^1(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}=0$ *and if* $\alpha_i+\alpha_j+\alpha_l\ne 0$ *whenever* $Z'_l\supset Z'_i\cap Z'_j\ne\emptyset$ *with* $l\in I^c$ *and* i,j *belonging to the same good* I^c*-connected component of* I.

Proof. Let $\eta h^\alpha=\sum_{i<d}\beta_i\omega_ih^\alpha\in\mathcal{A}^1_{h,\alpha}$, and assume

$$d(\eta h^\alpha):=\omega\wedge\eta h^\alpha=\textstyle\sum_{i,j}\gamma_{i<j}\omega_i\wedge\omega_jj^\alpha\in V(I)', \tag{4.5.2}$$

where $\gamma_{i,j}=\alpha_i\beta_j-\alpha_j\beta_i\in\mathbb{C}$. If $Z'_i\cap Z'_j$ is a double point of Z' and $\{i,j\}$ is not contained in I, then (4.5.2) implies

$$\alpha_i\beta_j-\alpha_j\beta_i=0. \tag{4.5.3}$$

By (4.4.3) and the assumption, this also holds if $Z'_l\supset Z'_i\cap Z'_j\ne\emptyset$ with $i,j\in I^c$ and $l\in I$. So we may assume $\beta_i=0$ for $i\in I^c$, replacing η with $\eta-\gamma\omega$ for some $\gamma\in\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, η is uniquely determined by $d(\eta h^\alpha)$ in case $H^1(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}=0$.

Let I' be an I^c-connected component of I. If $Z'_l\supset Z'_i\cap Z'_j\ne\emptyset$ with $i,j\in I'$ and $l\in I^c$, then, considering modulo $\mathbb{C}\omega_i\wedge\omega_jh^\alpha$, (4.4.1) and (4.5.2) imply

$$\alpha_l\beta_i+\alpha_l\beta_j=0,\ \text{i.e. }\beta_i+\beta_j=0,$$

since $\beta_l=0$. Moreover, $\gamma_{i,j}\ne 0$ by (4.4.2) if furthermore $\alpha_i+\alpha_j+\alpha_l\ne 0$.

In particular, the $\beta_i\,(i\in I')$ are uniquely determined by β_{i_0} for any $i_0\in I'$. Moreover, (4.5.3) implies that $\beta_i=0$ for $i\in I'$ if I' is not a good I^c-connected component. So the assertion follows.

4.6. Lemma. *Assume* $n = 3$*. Then, with the notation of* (4.1)

$$b_0(U) = 1, \quad b_1(U) = d - 1, \quad b_2(U) = \textstyle\sum_{z\in Z\setminus Z_d}(m_{Z,z} - 1),$$

where $m_{Z,z}$ *is the the number of the components* Z_i *containing* z*.*

Proof. Since $\dim \mathcal{A}^i_{h,\alpha} = b_i(U)$ (see (2.1.3)), the assertion holds for $i \ne 2$. So it is enough to calculate $\chi(U)$. Since

$$\chi(U) = \chi(Y') - \chi(Z'),$$

(where $Z' := Y' \cap Z$) and $\chi(Y) = 1$, it is enough to show

$$\chi(Z') = d - 1 - \textstyle\sum_{z\in Z\setminus Z_d}(m_{Z,z} - 1).$$

But this is easily verified by using the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Q}_{Z'} \to \textstyle\bigoplus_{i<d}\mathbb{Q}_{Z'_i} \to \textstyle\bigoplus_{z\in Z'}\mathbb{Q}^{m_{Z,z}-1} \to 0,$$

since $\chi(Z'_i) = 1$. This completes the proof of Lemma (4.6).

4.7. Lemma. *With the notation and the assumptions of* (4.1) *and* (4.4)*, assume* $d - k = 2$*, there is no bad* I^c*-connected component of* I*, and* $\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_{j'} \ne 0$ *in case* $Z'_i \cap Z'_j \cap Z'_{j'} \ne \emptyset$ *for some* $i \in I$*, where* $\{j, j'\} = I^c$*. Then* $H^2\mathcal{A}^{\bullet}_{h,\alpha} = V(I)$*, i.e. the hypothesis of* (f) *is satisfied.*

Proof. We have to show

$$\mathcal{A}^2_{h,\alpha} = d\mathcal{A}^1_{h,\alpha} \mod V(I)'. \tag{4.7.1}$$

We first show for $i \in I$ and $j \in I^c$

$$\omega_j \wedge \omega_i h^{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{A}^1_{h,\alpha} \mod V(I)', \tag{4.7.2}$$

We say that i is an end element of an I^c-connected component I' of I, if there is at most one $i' \in I'$ which is strongly I^c-connected with i. Each I^c-connected component I' of I contains two end elements if $|I'| > 1$. Except for the case Z'_i passes through the singular point of $Z'(I^c)$, one of the end elements i corresponds to Z'_i which is parallel to $Z_{j'}$ for some $j' \in I^c$, because there is no bad I^c-connected component of I. In this case $\omega_{j'} \wedge \omega_i = 0$, and the assertion for $j \in I^c \setminus \{j'\}$ follows from

$$d(\omega_i h^{\alpha}) = (\alpha_j\omega_j + \alpha_{j'}\omega_{j'}) \wedge \omega_i h^{\alpha} \mod V(I)' \quad \text{for } i \in I. \tag{4.7.3}$$

Since I' is linearly I^c-connected (because $|I^c| = 2$), we can show (4.7.2) inductively for $i \in I'$ using (4.7.3). Now we have to show (4.7.2) in the case $|I'| = 1$ and $Z'_i \cap Z'_j \cap Z'_{j'} \ne \emptyset$ where $\{j, j'\} = I^c$. In this case (4.7.2) follows from (4.4.2) by calculating $d(\omega_j h^{\alpha})$, $d(\omega_{j'} h^{\alpha})$ and using (4.7.2) in the above case.

Finally it remains to show (4.7.2) with i replaced by j'. The assertion is already shown by the above argument if $Z'_i \cap Z'_j \cap Z'_{j'} \ne \emptyset$. So we may assume that $Z'_j \cap Z'_{j'}$ is a double point of Z'. Then we have $\omega_j \wedge \omega_{j'} h^{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{A}^1_{h,\alpha} + V(I)'$ by a similar argument. This completes the proof of (4.7).

4.8. Lemma. *With the notation of* (4.1), *let* $Z(I)=\bigcup_{i\in I}Z_i\subset Y=\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, *where* I *is as in* (4.1.3) *so that* $|I|=k-1$. *Assume* $k\ge n$ *and* $Z(I)\cap Z_d$ *is a divisor with normal crossings on* $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. *Then the last hypothesis of* (g) *is satisfied, i.e.*

$$\dim V(I)'=\tbinom{k-1}{n-1}. \tag{4.8.1}$$

Proof. The assumption implies that $\omega_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_{i_{n-1}}\ne 0$ if $i_j\ne i_{j'}$ for $j\ne j'$. So we get (4.8.1) by showing the following assertion by increasing induction on $|I|\ge n$ (where it is enough to assume that $Z(I)$ is a divisor with normal crossings on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$):

(A) The $P_{I'}:=\prod_{i\in I'}g_i$ for $I'\subset I$ with $|I'|=|I|-n+1$ generate the vector space of polynomials of degree $|I|-n+1$ in $n-1$ variables.

Indeed, for each $i\in I$, the inductive hypothesis implies that the $P_{I'}$ for $I'\subset I\setminus\{i\}$ with $|I'|=|I|-n$ generate the vector space of polynomials of degree $\le |I|-n$ in $n-1$ variables, and the assertion is clear if $|I|=n$. This completes the proof of Lemma (4.8).

(In the case $n=3$, the converse of (4.8) holds by (4.4.1).)

4.9. Lemma. *With the notation of* (3.5), *assume* $\dim D^{\rm nnc}=1$, $k/d\in R'_f\cap(0,1)$, *and*

$$\dim F^{n-2}H^{n-2}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda<\textstyle\sum_{j\in J(\lambda)}\dim F^{n-2}H^{n-2}(F_{x_j},\mathbb{C})_\lambda, \tag{4.9.1}$$

where $\lambda=\exp(-2\pi ik/d)$. *Then* $m_{k/d}=2$.

Proof. It is enough to show the nonvanishing of the canonical morphism

$$\mathrm{Gr}_V^{k/d}M\to\mathrm{Gr}_n^W\mathrm{Gr}_V^{k/d}\mathcal{B}_f, \tag{4.9.2}$$

where W is the monodromy filtration with center $n-1$ which is associated to $N=-(\partial_t t-k/d)$ as in (3.2.1). We have $\mathrm{Gr}_i^W\mathrm{Gr}_V^{k/d}\mathcal{B}_f=0$ for $|i-n+1|>1$ by (3.5). Using the functor $H^0i_0^*\mathrm{DR}_X$ where $i_0:\{0\}\to X$ is the inclusion, the assertion is reduced to the nonvanishing of the canonical morphism

$$F^{n-1}H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda\to\mathrm{Gr}_n^WH^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda, \tag{4.9.3}$$

since $H^0i_0^*\mathrm{DR}_X\mathrm{Gr}_V^{k/d}\mathcal{B}_f=H^{n-1}i_0^*\psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_X=H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda$. Here W on $H^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})$ is the weight filtration of the canonical mixed Hodge structure, and is induced by W on $\psi_f\mathbb{C}_X$ in (3.2.1). In particular, (4.9.3) is equivalent to

$$F^{n-1}\mathrm{Gr}_n^WH^{n-1}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda\ne 0.$$

The weight spectral sequence (3.5.3) (e.g. the differential $d_1:E_1^{-1,n}\to E_1^{0,n}$) and the isomorphisms in (3.5.4) are compatible with the mixed Hodge structure. So the assertion follows from condition (4.9.1).

4.10. Case $\mathbf{n=3}$ with multiplicities $\le$ 3. In the nongeneric case, we have to use the calculations in (2.1) and (3.5) together with the above lemmas to determine the roots α of $b_f(-s)$ and their multiplicities for $\alpha\ge\alpha'_f$. For the moment we can calculate the b-function by this method only in relatively simple cases.

Assume $n=3$ and the multiplicity of $Z\subset\mathbb{P}^2$ at each singular point is at most 3, but Z is not a divisor with normal crossings on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let ν_i, ν'_i be the number of i-ple points of Z and $Z':=Z\setminus Z_d$ respectively. Then

$$R'_f=\{\tfrac{2}{3},1,\tfrac{4}{3}\},\quad \alpha'_f=\tfrac{2}{3},\quad \nu_3\ne 0,\quad \nu_i=\nu'_i=0\ \text{ for }\ i>3.$$

By (4.6) we have

$$b_0(U)=1,\quad b_1(U)=d-1,\quad b_2(U)=\nu'_2+2\nu'_3, \tag{4.10.1}$$

and moreover

$$\chi(U)=\frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2}-\nu_3. \tag{4.10.2}$$

This can be reduced to the case $\nu_3=0$ ([9], [27]) by slightly deforming Z and calculating the difference between the Euler numbers of $\{xy(x+y)=0\}$ and $\{xy(x+y-1)=0\}$.

By Theorem 2, there exists $J\subset\{3,\dots,2d-2\}$ such that

$$b_f(s)=(s+1)\prod_{i=2}^{4}\Big(s+\frac{i}{3}\Big)\cdot\prod_{j\in J}\Big(s+\frac{j}{d}\Big). \tag{4.10.3}$$

Here $m_{2/3}$ and $m_{4/3}$ are at most 2 by (3.5). Moreover, these are both 2 as long as condition (3.5.5) for $\lambda=\mathbf{e}(-2/3)$ is satisfied. Indeed, for $\alpha=4/3$, we have $m_{4/3}=2$ by (1.1.1) and Theorem 1. For $\alpha=2/3$, the assertion follows from (4.9), because $F^1H^1(F_{x_j},\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-2/3)}=H^1(F_{x_j},\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-2/3)}$ in this case so that (4.9.1) is reduced to (3.5.5).

Note that $H^1(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-2/3)}$ is very small in many cases. We have

$$\dim H^1(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-2/3)}\le 1\quad\text{for }\ d\le 8, \tag{4.10.4}$$

and Example (4.12)(i) is the only example such that $\dim H^1(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-2/3)}\ne 0$ with $d\le 8$, see [1], [9], [13] and Example (4.12)(iii) below. Note that $H^1(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-2/3)}=0$ unless d is divisible by 3, see (4.1.2).

As far as calculated, J is of the form $\{3,\dots,r\}$. It would be interesting whether this always holds. Note that the corresponding assertion does not hold for jumping coefficients, see Example (4.12)(ii) below.

4.11. Theorem. *With the above notation and assumptions, assume $d\le 7$. Then* (4.10.3) *holds with $J=\{3,\dots,r\}$ and $r=2d-2$ or $2d-3$. We have $r=2d-2$ if*

$$r=2d-2\ \text{ if }\ \nu_3<d-3, \tag{4.11.1}$$

and the converse holds for $d\le 6$. In the case $d=7$, we have $r=2d-3$ if $\nu_3>4$, however, r can be both $2d-3$ and $2d-2$ if $\nu_3=4$.

Proof. Note first that $\nu_3<d-3$ if and only if

$$\chi(U)=\tfrac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2}-\nu_3>\tfrac{(d-3)(d-4)}{2}=\tbinom{d-3}{2}.$$

For $k/d\notin\mathbb{Z}$, we have $H^0(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}=0$, and hence by (1.5.1)

$$\dim H^2(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}\ge\chi(U), \tag{4.11.2}$$

where the equality holds if $H^1(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(-k/d)}=0$, e.g. if d is nondivisible by 3.

In the case $k<d-2$, we have $k/d<\alpha'_f=2/3$ (using $d\le 7$), and the assertion follows from (a) and (b) in (4.2). So we may assume $k=d-2$.

In the case $\nu_3<d-3$, we have $2-\frac{2}{d}\in R_f$ by (4.2)(c). In this case we have $1-\frac{2}{d}\in R_f$ by (4.5) and (4.8) where we can take I^c whose corresponding divisor contains all the triple points of Z. So we may assume $\nu_3\ge d-3$.

By classification we have

$$\nu_3=1 \;\text{ if }\; d=4,\quad \nu_3\le 2 \;\text{ if }\; d=5,\quad \nu_3\le 4 \;\text{ if }\; d=6,\quad \nu_3\le 6 \;\text{ if }\; d=7.$$

If $d\le 5$, we have $k/d<\alpha'_f=2/3$ for $k\le d-2$, and the assertion follows from (4.2). Here $r=2d-3$ if $d=5$ and $\nu_3=2$.

If $d=6$, then the equality holds in (4.11.2) unless $\nu_3=4$ (see Example (i) below). We can choose $I^c=\{j,j'\}$ so that $Z_j\cup Z_{j'}$ contains all the triple points of Z, and $Z_j\cap Z_{j'}$ is a double point of Z. Then these assumptions imply that condition (2.1.2) is satisfied for (4.1.3). Moreover, the assumption of Lemma (4.7) is satisfied in case $\nu_3=3$ or 4. So the assertion follows from (4.2), (4.5) and (4.7).

In the case $d=7$, the equivalent conditions of (4.4.2) is always satisfied. For $\nu_3=6$, there is only one *projective* isomorphism class as in Example (ii) below, and the assertion follows from (4.2), (4.5) and (4.7). For $\nu_3=5$, there are two 1-parameter families (up to *projective* isomorphisms) whose affine equations are

$$\begin{aligned}&h=xy(x-1)(y-1)(x+y-1)(y-\lambda x)\quad(\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\text{ generic}),\\&h=xy(x-1)(y-1)(\lambda x-y)((\lambda-1)x-(y-1))\quad(\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\text{ generic}).\end{aligned}$$

This can be shown by counting the number of projective lines in Z containing three triple points of Z. We can take I^c corresponding to $\{xy=0\}$. Then the assertion follows from (4.2), (4.5) and (4.7).

If $\nu_3=4$, there are three 2-parameter families (up to *projective* isomorphisms) whose affine equations are

$$\begin{aligned}&h=xy(x-1)(y-1)(\lambda x-y)(\mu x-(y-1))\quad(\lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{C}\text{ generic}),\\&h=xy(x-1)(y-1)(\lambda x-(y-1))((x-1)-\mu y)\quad(\lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{C}\text{ generic}),\\&h=xy(x-1)(y-1)(x+y-1)(\lambda x-y+\mu)\quad(\lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{C}\text{ generic}).\end{aligned}$$

This can be shown by counting the number of projective lines in Z containing three triple points of Z and looking at the lines in Z passing through two triple points of Z. We can take I^c corresponding to $\{xy=0\}$. Then the assertion follows from (4.2), (4.5), (4.7) and (4.8). Here $c=1$ in (4.5) and $12/7\in R_f$ for the last family, but $c=0$ and $12/7\notin R_f$ otherwise. This completes the proof of (4.11).

4.12. Examples. (i) In the notation of (2.1), let $n=3$, $d=6$, and

$$h=(x^2-1)(y^2-1)(x+y).$$

This is the simplest example such that $H^{n-2}(F_0,\mathbb{C})_\lambda\ne 0$ with $\lambda\ne 1$ (where $\dim H^1(F_0,\mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(\pm 1/3)}=1$). In this case we have $\nu_3=4$ and $\chi(U)=2$. For $k=4$, we take I^c corresponding to $(x+1)(y+1)=0$ in the notation of (4.2).

(ii) In the notation of (2.1), let $n = 3$, $d = 7$, and

$$h = (x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)(x^2 - y^2).$$

This is the only example with $d = 7$ and $\nu_3 = 6$ up to a *projective* equivalence. In this case (4.10.3) holds with $J = \{3, \dots, 11\}$ and $r = 11 = 2d - 3$. In particular, $5/7$ is a root of $b_f(-s)$, although it is not a jumping coefficient, see [30]. Here we have $\nu'_3 = 4$ and $\chi(U) = 4$. For $k = 5$, I^c is as in Example (i).

(iii) In the notation of (2.1), let $n = 3$, $d = 9$, and

$$h = xy(y + 2)(x - y)(x - y + 1)(x + y - 1)(x + y + 2)(x - 2y - 1).$$

This is the second simplest example with $\dim H^1(F_0, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbf{e}(\pm 1/3)} = 1$, see [1], [9], [13]. In this case (4.10.3) holds with $J = \{3, \dots, r\}$ where r is 15 or 16. For $k = 6$, (4.8.1) holds but (4.7.1) does not, where I^c corresponds to $\{y(x - y + 1)(x + y + 2) = 0\}$. We have $b_1(U) = 8$, $\dim V(I)' = 10$, $\chi(U) = 12$, and hence $0 \ne V(I) \ne H^2\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{h,\alpha}$. So we get $6/9, 15/9 \in R_f$. However, for $k = 7$, it is rather complicated to determine whether $16/9 \in R_f$.

4.13. Remark. The problem in Theorem (4.2) is that the relation between

$$\mathcal{A}^{n-1}_{h,\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(Y, \Omega_Y^{n-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} P_0\mathcal{L}^{(k/d)})$$

may be rather complicated (both are subspaces of $\Gamma(Y, \Omega_Y^{n-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{L}^{(k/d)})$). If both (4.7.1) and (4.8.1) do not hold, we would have to enlarge the complex $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_{h,\alpha}$ as in (2.2). But it is very complicated to calculate this complex explicitly.

References

[1] Artal-Bartolo, E., Combinatorics and topology of line arrangements in the complex projective plane, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 385–390.

[2] Barlet, D. and Saito, M., Brieskorn modules and Gauss-Manin systems for non isolated hypersurface singularities, preprint (math.CV/0411406).

[3] Beilinson, A., Bernstein, J. and Deligne, P., Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.

[4] Brieskorn, E., Die Monodromie der isolierten Singularitäten von Hyperflächen, Manuscripta Math., 2 (1970), 103–161.

[5] Brieskorn, E., Sur les groupes de tresses [d'après V.I. Arnold], Séminaire Bourbaki, 24ème année (1971/1972), Exp. No. 401, Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 317, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 21–44.

[6] Budur, N., On Hodge spectrum and multiplier ideals, Math. Ann. 327 (2003), 257–270.

[7] Budur, N. and Saito, M., Multiplier ideals, V-filtration, and spectrum, J. Algebraic Geom. 14 (2005), 269–282.

[8] Cohen, D.C., Dimca, A. and Orlik, P., Nonresonance conditions for arrangements, Ann. Inst. Fourier 53 (2003), 1883–1896.

[9] Cohen, D. and Suciu, A., On Milnor fibrations of arrangements, J. London Math. Soc. 51 (1995), 105–119.

[10] Deligne, P., Equations Différentielles à Points Singuliers Réguliers, Lect. Notes in Math. vol. 163, Springer, Berlin, 1970.

[11] Deligne, P., Théorie de Hodge I, Actes Congrès Intern. Math., Part 1 (1970), 425–430; II, Publ. Math. IHES, 40 (1971), 5–58; III, ibid. 44 (1974), 5–77.

[12] Deligne, P., Le formalisme des cycles évanescents, in SGA7 XIII and XIV, Lect. Notes in Math. 340, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 82–115 and 116–164.

[13] Dimca, A., Singularities and Topology of Hypersurfaces, Springer-Velag, Berlin, 1992.

[14] Dimca, A. and Lehrer, G.I., Purity and equivariant weight polynomials, Algebraic groups and Lie groups, Austral. Math. Soc. Lect. Ser., 9, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 161–181.

[15] Dimca, A. and Maxim, L., Multivariable Alexander invariants of hypersurface complements, preprint (math.AT/0506324).

[16] Dimca, A. and Saito, M., A generalization of Griffiths' theorem on rational integrals, preprint (math.AG/0501253).

[17] Ein, L., Lazarsfeld, R., Smith, K.E. and Varolin, D., Jumping coefficients of multiplier ideals, Duke Math. J. 123 (2004), 469–506.

[18] Esnault, H., Schechtman, V. and Viehweg, E., Cohomology of local systems on the complement of hyperplanes, Invent. Math. 109 (1992), 557–561.

[19] Kashiwara, M., B-functions and holonomic systems, Inv. Math. 38 (1976/77), 33–53.

[20] Kashiwara, M., Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations, Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), Lect. Notes in Math. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 134–142.

[21] Kollár, J., Singularities of pairs, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., A.M.S. 62 Part 1, (1997), 221–287.

[22] Lazarsfeld, R., Positivity in algebraic geometry II, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge, A series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Vol. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[23] Malgrange, B., Le polynôme de Bernstein d'une singularité isolée, in Lect. Notes in Math. 459, Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 98–119.

[24] Malgrange, B., Polynôme de Bernstein-Sato et cohomologie évanescente, Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III (Luminy, 1981), Astérisque 101–102 (1983), 243–267.

[25] Mustaţă, M., Multiplier ideals of hyperplane arrangements (math.AG/0402232), to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

[26] Navarro Aznar, V., Sur la théorie de Hodge-Deligne, Inv. Math. 90 (1987), 11–76.

[27] Orlik, P. and Randell, R., The Milnor fiber of a generic arrangement, Ark. Mat. 31 (1993), 71–81.

[28] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge modules, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 26 (1990), 221–333.

[29] Saito, M., On microlocal b-function, Bull. Soc. Math. France 122 (1994), 163–184.

[30] Saito, M., Multiplier ideals, b-function, and spectrum of a hypersurface singularity, preprint (math.AG/0402363).

[31] Schechtman, V., Terao, H. and Varchenko, A., Local systems over complements of hyperplanes and the Kac-Kazhdan conditions for singular vectors, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 100 (1995), 93–102.

[32] Steenbrink, J.H.M., Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology, in Real and Complex Singularities (Proc. Nordic Summer School, Oslo, 1976) Alphen a/d Rijn: Sijthoff & Noordhoff 1977, pp. 525–563.

[33] Steenbrink, J.H.M., The spectrum of hypersurface singularity, Astérisque 179–180 (1989), 163–184.

[34] Walther, U., Bernstein-Sato polynomial versus cohomology of the Milnor fiber for generic hyperplane arrangements, Compos. Math. 141 (2005), 121–145.

RIMS KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502 JAPAN
E-mail address: `msaito@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`